World Bank's Views on Private participation in Power Sector in India

255. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the attention of Government has been drawn to the news item captioned "Bank picks holes in approach on power deals" as reported in the Times of India of 19th June, 1995;

(b) if so, whether the World Bank has denounced the cost plus approach to tariff under which private parties have been allowed to set up power plants in India;

(c) if so, the reaction of Government thereon; and

(d) the steps Government propose to take to remove the shortcomings?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI URMILA CHIMANBHAI PATEL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (d) The World Bank in its document titled "India—Country Economic Memorandum" has inter-alia made certain observations on the two-part tariff notification which are under examination by the Government of India.

ऋण वसूली के लिए अधिकरण स्थापित किया जाना

256. **चौधरी हरमोहन सिंह:**
श्री कनकसिंह मोहनसिंह मंगरोला:

क्या वित्त मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या सरकार ने कोई ऋण वसूली अधिकरण स्थापित किया है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो ततसंबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) सरकार ने 30 जून, 1994 तक इस अधिकरण के माध्यम से कितना कार्य सम्पन्न किया है?

वित्त मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम०वी० चन्द्रशेखर मूर्ति): (क) से (ग) जी, हां। सरकार ने अब तक निम्नलिखित पांच ऋण वसूली अधिकरण स्थापित किए हैं:—

अधिकरण का नाम	स्थापना की तारीख
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता	27.4.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, दिल्ली	5.7.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, जयपुर	30.8.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, बंगलौर	30.11.94
ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, अहमदाबाद	21.12.94

30 जून, 1994 की स्थिति के अनुसार केवल कलकत्ता में एक अधिकरण की स्थापना की गई थी। ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता से प्राप्त सूचना के अनुसार, 30 जून, 1994 तक विभिन्न अदालतों से ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता को तीन मामले अंतरित किए गए थे, जिसमें लगभग 13.75 करोड़ रुपए की राशि अंतर्ग्रस्त है और 17 नए मामले ऋण वसूली अधिकरण, कलकत्ता के पास दर्ज कराए गए जिसमें लगभग 18.29 करोड़ रुपए की राशि अंतर्ग्रस्त है।

Vigilance cases against employees of Union Bank of India

257. SHRI PRAGADA KOTAIAH: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what are details of the vigilance cases against officers and other employees of the Union Bank of India in which the proceedings are actually stayed by the competent courts, and in which the proceedings are not stayed by the courts;

(b) in the cases where the proceedings are not stayed by the court what are the details of the persons who are still working in the Bank, and what are the reasons for allowing them to continue to work in spite of vigilance cases against them; and

(c) what is the role of the top management of Bank in such matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKARA MURTHY): (a) to (c) Union Bank of India has reported that out of 121 vigilance cases pending as on 30.6.95, proceedings have been stayed by Courts in two cases. Out of remaining 119 cases, 24 officers/employees have been placed under suspension. The decision regarding suspension of employees is taken by the management based on the merits of each case and on